PECEIVED . CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUL 14 2008

Doc Code: AP.PRE.REQ

PTC/SB/33 (07-05)
Approved for use through xx/xx/200x. OMB 0651-00xx
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW		Docket Number (Optional)	
		60469-255; PA-0005304-US	
CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE	Application Number		Filed
I hereby certify that this Pre-Appeal Brief Request For Review and Notice of Appeal are being facsimile transmitted to (571) 273-8300.	10/569,169		02/27/2006
on	First Named Inventor		
Signature	Markus Hame		
		Init Examiner	
Typed or printed DAVID J. GASKEY	3651		Kavel Singh
Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed with this request.			
This request is being filed with a notice of appeal.			
The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s), Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided.			
I am the	C TRX V		
applicant/inventor.	1	7/1/	
assignee of record of the entire interest.	David J. Gaskey		
See 37.CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) Is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96)	Typed or printed name		
attorney or agent of record. Registration number 37,139	248-988-8360		
	Telephone number		
attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34.		JULY 1	4. 2608
Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34	<u> </u>		
NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required. Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below.			
*Total of forms are submitted.			

This collection of information is required by 35 U.S.C. 132. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11, 1.14 and 41.6. This collection is estimated to take 12 mirrutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.



JUL 14 2008

60,469-255 PA-000.05304-US

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Hame, Markus

Serial Number:

10/569,169

Filed:

02/27/2006

Group Art Unit:

3651

Examiner:

Singh, Kavel

Title:

PASSENGER CONVEYOR DRIVE MONITORING

ARRANGEMENT WITH BRAKE ACTUATION

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicant respectfully requests pre-appeal review because there is no *prima facie* case of anticipation or obviousness against any of Applicant's claims.

The Examiner's rejections are based upon the *Saito* reference (U.S. Patent No. 5,135,097). There is no *prima facie* case of anticipation or obviousness because the *Saito* reference does not include any teaching that in any way corresponds to any determination whether wheels associated with a drive member of a passenger conveyor drive assembly rotate at the same speed or whether there is any relative rotation between them. In the Advisory Action, the Examiner explains her position as follows:

Saito teaches driving sprocket 18 and driven sprocket 19 are rotatably supported, respectively, and an endless step chain is provided between the two sprockets (wheels of the application). Since the wheels 18, 19 are tied together by the step chain, they do not rotate at the same speed (claim 1).

07/14/2008 NON 15:31 FAX 12489888363 **2**006/007

60,469-255 PA-000.05304-US

In other words, the Examiner contends that the sprockets 18 and 19 of the Saito reference

do not rotate at the same speed. That conclusion cannot possibly be supported by the plain

teachings of the Saito reference. The sprockets 18 and 19 are connected to the same step chain

and, therefore, must rotate at the same speed. The step chain moves at whatever speed the

driving sprocket 18 moves. The driven sprocket 19 moves responsive to the movement of the

chain. Therefore, the speed with which the driving sprocket 18 moves has to be the same as that

with which the driven sprocket 19 moves because they are both connected with the same endless

step chain. It is not possible to have one portion of the step chain moving at a different speed

than another.

Because the Saito reference is entirely silent regarding any determination whether the

sprockets 19 and 18 rotate at the same speed or whether there is any relative rotation between

them, it is impossible to establish a prima facie case of anticipation or obviousness against any of

Applicant's claims. All of the rejections depend upon the same unsupportable conclusion

regarding the contents of the Saito reference. Therefore, all of the rejections must be withdrawn.

Respectfully submitted,

CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS

By:

David J.\Gaskey\Reg. No. 37,139

400 W. Maple Rd., Ste. 350

Birmingham, MI 48009

(248) 988-8360

Dated: July /4 , 2008

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Ø007/007

JUL 14 2008

60,469-255 PA-000.05304-US

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE

I hereby certify that this <u>PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW</u>, relative to Application Serial No. 10/569,169, is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office (Fax No. (571) 273-8300) on July 44, 2008.

DANOS GASKET

N:\Clients\OTIS ELEVATOR\IP00255\PATENT\PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW 7-08.doc